

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/210,485	12/11/1998	PAUL MANSKY	65304-039	5370
759	90 09/18/2003			
Eric M. Dobrusin, Esq. Dobrusin Darden Thennisch Law Firm PLLC 401 S. Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 311			EXAMINER	
			WACHSMAN, HAL D	
Birmingham, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DE RTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./
CONTROL NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

**ART UNIT** 

**PAPER** 

42

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2857

بر						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/210,485	MANSKY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hal D Wachsman	2857			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to √ within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 √ will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ↑ cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  I days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-10,13-17,19-21,23-25,113-133 and 135-156 is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>113-133,135-152,155 and 156</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,13-17,19-21,23,24,153 and 154</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	r)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 25</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
44)□ -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* S	application from the International Buries the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		and the second s			
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2857

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6-25-03 has been entered.
- 2. The terminal disclaimer filed on 6-25-03 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. patent no. 6,477,479 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 3. The "Notification of Reasons for Refusal dated January 21, 2003" cited on the IDS filed 6-25-03, has not been considered because what is cited on this document is not prior art.
- 4. Claims 4, 8-10, 13-17, 19-21, 23-25, 131, 143 and 151 are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4, lines 10-11, cite "said at least one thermal property of said 5 or more samples" which it appears should be "said at least one thermal property of said one of said 5 or more samples". Claim 8, line 2, cites "the thermal property" however the antecedent basis is "at least one thermal property". Claim 131, line 2, has what appears to be a strike through line above the comma after "121" however was the comma here intended to be deleted by the strike through line? This same type of problem also occurs in claim 143, line 2, and claim 151, line 1. The

Art Unit: 2857

examiner asks the applicant to better claim the limitations cited above. While the examiner understands the intentions of the applicant he feels confusion could be drawn from the limitations cited above. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1, 8, 13-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis et al. (5,788,833) in view of Kimura Mitsuteru (JP 10-260147).

As per claim 1, Lewis et al.'833 (Abstract, figure 1B, col. 2 lines 18-34, 47-53, col. 10 lines 6-11, col. 11 lines 7-11, col. 17 lines 61-67, col. 18 lines 1-18, col. 20

Art Unit: 2857

lines 14-23) disclose the substrate as described in lines 3-5 of the claim. Lewis et al. '833 (Abstract, figure 1C, col. 2 lines 47-58, col. 8 lines 4-15) disclose the interconnection device as described in line 6 of the claim. Lewis et al. 833 (figure 1C, col. 8 lines 5-15, 53-61, col. 10 lines 6-11, 15-62, col. 11 lines 7-11) disclose the electronic platform as described in the last 6 lines of the claim with the exception of clearly disclosing "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". However, Kimura Mitsuteru (see translation -Abstract, claim 1, paragraphs 0010, 0012, 0035, 0040, 0042) teaches this excepted feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kimura Mitsuteru to the invention of Lewis et al. 833 as specified above because as taught by Kumura Mitsuteru (Abstract, paragraph 0009) a sample in a trace mount can then be heated by a heater whose heat capacity is small, and the sample can be heated to a high temperature by very small electric power, providing high-speed response with minute power.

As per claim 8, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 9 lines 34-67, col. 10 lines 1-14, col. 19 lines 57-67, col. 20 lines 1-23) disclose at least one of the thermal properties from the group in this claim.

As per claim 13, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 13 lines 7-10, col. 17 lines 10-25, col. 20 lines 4-23) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 14, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 17 – table 4, col. 19 lines 58-60) disclose the feature of this claim.

Art Unit: 2857

As per claim 15, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 43-65) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 16, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 13 lines 7-10, col. 17 lines 10-25, col. 20 lines 4-23) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 17, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 43-65) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 19, Lewis et al.'833 (Abstract, figures 1A – 1, 1A, col. 2 lines 24-26, col. 5, table 2, col. 6 lines 25-32) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 20, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 40-42, col. 9 lines 49-67, col. 19 lines 62-67, col. 20 lines 1-16) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 21, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 40-42) disclose the feature of this claim.

7. Claims 2-6, 8, 13-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis et al. (5,788,833) in view of Kimura Mitsuteru (JP 10-260147) and Lewis (6,170,318).

As per claim 2, Lewis et al.'833 (Abstract, figure 1B, col. 2 lines 18-34, 47-53, col. 10 lines 6-11, col. 11 lines 7-11, col. 17 lines 61-67, col. 18 lines 1-18, col. 20 lines 14-23) disclose the substrate as described in lines 3-5 of the claim. Lewis et al.'833 (figure 1C, col. 8 lines 5-15, 53-61, col. 10 lines 6-11, 15-62, col. 11 lines 7-11) disclose the signal routing means with the exception of explicitly disclosing that a circuit board is being used to couple the signal routing means to the sensor array and that the circuit board is coupled to the sensor array and disclose the electronic platform as

Art Unit: 2857

described in the last 8 lines of the claim with the exception of clearly disclosing "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". However, Kimura Mitsuteru (see translation - Abstract, claim 1, paragraphs 0010, 0012, 0035, 0040, 0042) teaches this excepted feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kimura Mitsuteru to the invention of Lewis et al.'833 as specified above because as taught by Kimura Mitsuteru (Abstract, paragraph 0009) a sample in a trace mount can then be heated by a heater whose heat capacity is small, and the sample can be heated to a high temperature by very small electric power, providing high-speed response with minute power. It appears the above combination of references still does not explicitly teach that a circuit board is being used to couple the signal routing means to the sensor array and that the circuit board is coupled to the sensor array. However, Lewis'318 (col. 12 lines 1-5, 65-67, col. 13 lines 21-26) teaches these excepted features. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Lewis'318 to the invention of Lewis et al. '833 and Kimura Mitsuteru as specified above because as taught by Lewis'318 (col. 13 lines 23-26) the sensor density on the chip must be increased for hand-held type applications from that of box-type applications and this can be achieved using PCB technology.

As per claim 3, Lewis et al.'833 (Abstract, figure 1B, col. 2 lines 18-34, 47-53, col. 10 lines 6-11, col. 11 lines 7-11, col. 17 lines 61-67, col. 18 lines 1-18, col. 20

Art Unit: 2857

lines 14-23) disclose the substrate as described in lines 3-5 of the claim. Lewis et al.'833 (figure 1C, col. 8 lines 5-15, 53-61, col. 10 lines 6-11, 15-62, col. 11 lines 7-11) disclose the electronic platform as described in the last 8 lines of the claim with the exception of clearly disclosing "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". In addition, Lewis et al.'833 does not clearly disclose the circuit board as described in lines 6-7 of the claim. However, Kimura Mitsuteru (see translation - Abstract, claim 1, paragraphs 0010, 0012, 0035, 0040, 0042) teaches "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kimura Mitsuteru to the invention of Lewis et al. 833 as specified above because as taught by Kimura Mitsuteru (Abstract, paragraph 0009) a sample in a trace mount can then be heated by a heater whose heat capacity is small, and the sample can be heated to a high temperature by very small electric power, providing high-speed response with minute power. It appears though that the above combination of references still does not clearly teach the circuit board as described in lines 6-7 of the claim. However, Lewis'318 (col. 12 lines 1-5, 65-67, col. 13 lines 21-26) teaches this excepted feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Lewis'318 to the invention of Lewis et al.'833 and Kimura Mitsuteru as specified above because as taught by Lewis'318 (col. 13 lines 23-26) the sensor density on the chip

Art Unit: 2857

must be increased for hand-held type applications from that of box-type applications and this can be achieved using PCB technology.

As per claim 4, Lewis et al. 833 (Abstract, figure 1B, col. 2 lines 18-34, 47-53, col. 10 lines 6-11, col. 11 lines 7-11, col. 17 lines 61-67, col. 18 lines 1-18, col. 20 lines 14-23) disclose the substrate as described in lines 3-5 of the claim. Lewis et al.'833 (figure 1C, col. 8 lines 5-15, 53-61, col. 10 lines 6-11, 15-62, col. 11 lines 7-11) disclose the remaining features of this claim with the exception of those features being placed on a circuit board and "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". However, Kimura Mitsuteru (see translation -Abstract, claim 1, paragraphs 0010, 0012, 0035, 0040, 0042) teaches "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kimura Mitsuteru to the invention of Lewis et al. '833 as specified above because as taught by Kimura Mitsuteru (Abstract, paragraph 0009) a sample in a trace mount can then be heated by a heater whose heat capacity is small, and the sample can be heated to a high temperature by very small electric power, providing high-speed response with minute power. It appears though that the above combination of references still does not clearly teach the features being placed on a circuit board. However, Lewis'318 (col. 12 lines 1-5, 65-67, col. 13 lines 21-26) teaches this excepted feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2857

at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Lewis'318 to the invention of Lewis et al.'833 and Kimura Mitsuteru as specified above because as taught by Lewis'318 (col. 13 lines 23-26) the sensor density on the chip must be increased for hand-held type applications from that of box-type applications and this can be achieved using PCB technology.

As per claim 5, Lewis et al.'833 (Abstract, figure 1B, col. 2 lines 18-34, col. 17 lines 61-67, col. 18 lines 1-18) disclose the plurality of sensors as described in lines 4-6 of the claim. Lewis et al. '833 (figure 1C, col. 8 lines 5-15, 53-61, col. 10 lines 15-62, col. 11 lines 61-67) disclose the signal routing means, the electronic test circuitry as described in lines 8-11 of the claim and the computer for controlling as described in the last 6 lines of the claim with the exception of clearly disclosing "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". In addition. Lewis et al. 833 does not explicitly disclose that all these features have been placed on a circuit board. However, Kimura Mitsuteru (see translation - Abstract, claim 1, paragraphs 0010, 0012, 0035, 0040, 0042) teaches "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kimura Mitsuteru to the invention of Lewis et al.'833 as specified above because as taught by Kimura Mitsuteru (Abstract, paragraph 0009) a sample in a trace mount can then be heated by a heater whose heat capacity is small,

Art Unit: 2857

and the sample can be heated to a high temperature by very small electric power, providing high-speed response with minute power. It appears that the above combination features though still does not clearly teach the circuit board. However, Lewis'318 (col. 12 lines 1-5, 65-67, col. 13 lines 21-26) teaches this excepted feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Lewis'318 to the invention of Lewis et al.'833 and Kimura Mitsuteru as specified above because as taught by Lewis'318 (col. 13 lines 23-26) the sensor density on the chip must be increased for hand-held type applications from that of box-type applications and this can be achieved using PCB technology.

As per claim 6, Lewis et al.'833 (Abstract, figure 1B, col. 2 lines 18-34, col. 17 lines 61-67, col. 18 lines 1-18) disclose the plurality of sensors as described in lines 4-6 of the claim. Lewis et al.'833 (figure 1C, col. 8 lines 5-15, 53-61, col. 10 lines 15-62, col. 11 lines 61-67) disclose the signal routing means, the electronic test circuitry as described in lines 9-11 of the claim and the computer for controlling as described in the last 6 lines of the claim with the exception of clearly disclosing "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". In addition, Lewis et al.'833 does not explicitly disclose that all these features have been placed on a circuit board. However, Kimura Mitsuteru (see translation – Abstract, claim 1, paragraphs 0010, 0012, 0035, 0040, 0042) teaches "a plurality of thermometers disposed on a top surface of said substrate, and wherein said substrate includes a large area heater disposed on a bottom surface of said substrate". It would have been

Art Unit: 2857

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kimura Mitsuteru to the invention of Lewis et al.'833 as specified above because as taught by Kimura Mitsuteru (Abstract, paragraph 0009) a sample in a trace mount can then be heated by a heater whose heat capacity is small, and the sample can be heated to a high temperature by very small electric power, providing high-speed response with minute power. It appears that the above combination features though still does not clearly teach the circuit board. However, Lewis'318 (col. 12 lines 1-5, 65-67, col. 13 lines 21-26) teaches this excepted feature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Lewis'318 to the invention of Lewis et al.'833 and Kimura Mitsuteru as specified above because as taught by Lewis'318 (col. 13 lines 23-26) the sensor density on the chip must be increased for hand-held type applications from that of box-type applications and this can be achieved using PCB technology.

As per claim 8, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 9 lines 34-67, col. 10 lines 1-14, col.19 lines 57-67, col. 20 lines 1-23) disclose at least one of the thermal properties from the group in this claim.

As per claim 13, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 13 lines 7-10, col. 17 lines 10-25, col. 20 lines 4-23) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 14, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 17 – table 4, col. 19 lines 58-60) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 15, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 43-65) disclose the feature of this claim.

Art Unit: 2857

As per claim 16, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 13 lines 7-10, col. 17 lines 10-25, col. 20 lines 4-23) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 17, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 43-65) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 19, Lewis et al.'833 (Abstract, figures 1A – 1, 1A, col. 2 lines 24-26, col. 5, table 2, col. 6 lines 25-32) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 20, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 40-42, col. 9 lines 49-67, col. 19 lines 62-67, col. 20 lines 1-16) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 21, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 7 lines 40-42) disclose the feature of this claim.

8. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis et al. (5,788,833) in view of Kimura Mitsuteru (JP 10-260147) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of "Dielectric Monitoring of Polymerization and Cure" (Kranbuehl).

As per claim 23, Kranbuehl (pages 303-305) teaches the feature of this claim. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kranbuehl to the inventions of Lewis et al.'833 and Kimural Mitsuteru as specified above because as taught by Kranbuehl (page 303) frequency dependent dielectric measurements have become an effective instrumental means for monitoring a variety of polymer resin processing properties.

As per claim 24, Lewis et al.'833 (Figures 1A-1, 1B) disclose the feature of this claim.

Art Unit: 2857

9. Claims 23, 24, 153 and 154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis et al.'833 in view of Kimura Mitsuteru (JP 10-260147) and Lewis (6,170,318) as applied to claims 2, 3 or 4 above, and further in view of "Dielectric Monitoring of Polymerization and Cure" (Kranbuehl).

As per claim 23, Kranbuehl (pages 303-305) teaches the feature of this claim. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kranbuehl to the inventions of Lewis et al.'833, Kimural Mitsuteru and Lewis'318 as specified above because as taught by Kranbuehl (page 303) frequency dependent dielectric measurements have become an effective instrumental means for monitoring a variety of polymer resin processing properties.

As per claim 24, Lewis et al.'833 (Figures 1A-1, 1B) disclose the feature of this claim.

As per claim 153, Lewis et al.'833 (col. 9 lines 34-67, col. 10 lines 1-14, col.19 lines 57-67, col. 20 lines 1-23) disclose at least one of the thermal properties from the group in this claim.

As per claim 154, Kranbuehl (pages 303-305) teaches the feature of this claim. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the techniques of Kranbuehl to the inventions of Lewis et al.'833, Kimural Mitsuteru and Lewis'318 as specified above because as taught by Kranbuehl (page 303) frequency dependent dielectric measurements have become an

Art Unit: 2857

effective instrumental means for monitoring a variety of polymer resin processing properties.

10. Claims 113-133, 135-152, 155 and 156 are allowed subject to the appropriate correction of the 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) objections noted in paragraph 4 above.

Claims 9, 10 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and subject to the appropriate correction of the 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) objections noted above.

- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hal D Wachsman whose telephone number is 703-305-9788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2857

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Hal D Wachsman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2857

HW September 13, 2003